

Submission on discussion document: review of radio spectrum licence fees

Your name and organisation

Name	Chris Daly
Organisation	

General questions

Questions for organisations and businesses

1	What sector of the economy do you or your organisation operate in?
	Retired
2	Approximately how many licences do you or your organisation hold?
	None presently
3	Before considering changes to fees, are you planning to increase or decrease the number of licences you or your organisation hold over the next five years? If yes, please indicate the expected proportion of this change.
	Increase
4	What proportion of your licences do you expect will need to be modified in the next five years?
	60%

Questions about the proposals in the discussion document

Options for annual licence fee changes

1	What do you see as the advantages and disadvantages of option one?
	It is simpler but may discourage uptake of existing licenses to renew, and thereby add to cost's of maintenance, to educate compliance, where such education was already present with existing users.
2	What do you see as the advantages and disadvantages of option two?

It equates better the needs of everyone involved and provides better license allocation , I do not see many disadvantages

3 Which option do you prefer? Why?

Option 2, it provides a wider ability to participation

4 Is there any other option that has not been considered in this document? If yes, please explain this option in detail, including why it is preferable to option one and two.

Yes needed to be considered is preference to any such civic purpose licensing , where simply spectrum allocated can benefit many vs few, by having a designated purpose with inclusion. As example just one license directly or indirectly to benefit 100 persons, using one licenses frequency spectrum , is far better than 101 licenses to each individual , with none talking to the other, and using excessive spectrum, with added maintenance of each allocation, trying to ascertain 101 end uses and users as the case may be.

It is preferable because it allocates, so that spectrum is used efficiently, to assist many vs few

5 What impact will the fee increase have on your business or entity?

Some, it may necessitate budget restraints

6 How would fee option two impact the licensing decisions of your organisation or entity over the next five years?

It would have beneficial focus on our activity

Closing comments

7 Are there any other comments you wish to make?

Yes. There needs to be less segmented users of spectrum, What needs to occur is a pathway so new licenses get allocated where end users show particularly willingness to have a beneficial civic stated purpose. Music APRA licenses and membership of an organization supporting those involved, is one such ,much less segmented use of spectrum . As example APRA appeal to have a music license here:

<https://www.onemusicnz.com/music-licences/>

that benefits many persons, vs less community spirited allocations, that have

individual singular purpose, but miss out on community aspiration.